

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/804,980 | 03/13/2001 | Peter Andersen | 670001-2002.4 | 9361 | |
| 20999 75 | 590 07/02/2003 | | | | |
| FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG | | | EXAM | EXAMINER , | |
| 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151 | | | SWARTZ, F | RODNEY P | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1645 | 15 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002 | DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Applicati n N O9/804,980 ANDERSEN ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D. 1645 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address Peri d for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | |
|---|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary Examiner Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D. 1645 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address Peri d for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | |
| Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D. 1645 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address Peri d for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address Peri d for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | |
| Peri d for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM | ion. | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum arrow period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14April2003</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disp sition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7,8,12-25,27,29,31 and 33 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-11,26,28,30 and 32</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applic | ation). | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | _· | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/804,980 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' Response to Restriction, received 14April2003, paper#14, is acknowledged. Applicants elect, with traverse, Group I, claims 1-6, 9-11, 26 and 28, drawn to polypeptide, classified in class 424, subclass 248.1.

The traversal is on the grounds that there is a relationship between the claims of the groups which would make any search and examination coextensive and therefore no serious burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive for the reasons put forth in the original Restriction, i.e., the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and because while the searches may overlap, the searches are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Newly added claims added claims 30 and 32, drawn to polypeptide compositions are included in Invention I. However, claim 31, a method of immunization, would have been included in nonelected Invention III, and claim 33, a method of diagnosis, would have been included in nonelected Invention II.

Claims 7, 8, 12-25, 27, 29, 31, and 33 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

2. Claims 1-6, 9-11, 26, 28, 30, and 32 are under consideration.

Double Patenting

Art Unit: 1645

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-6, 9-11, 26, 28, 30, and 32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 and 18-30 of U.S. Patent No. 5,955,077. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims are drawn to composition comprising the same polypeptides.

Application/Control Number: 09/804,980 Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of

making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to

which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the

best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming

the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are drawn to a "substantially pure" polypeptide. It is unclear what are the

metes and bounds of this phrase, i.e., how pure is "substantial".

Claim 4 states that a fragment is "derived" from a virulent mycobacterium. It is unclear

what are the metes and bounds of "derived".

Conclusion

8. No claims are allowed.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-4244. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM EST.

Art Unit: 1645

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette F. Smith, can be reached on (703)308-3909. The facsimile telephone number for the Art Unit Group is (703)308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

RODNEY P SWARTZ, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 1645

June 30, 2003